

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/084,225	ERB ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ula C Ruddock	1771	

All Participants:

(1) Ula C Ruddock.

(2) Brendan Mee.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 April 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Claims 15 and 24

Prior art documents discussed:

Jorder et al. (US 5,534,325) and Blaustein et al. (US 4,750,443)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant has agreed to amend claim 15 by adding the limitations of claim 24, in order to overcome the prior art rejections.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Ula C Ruddock

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)